



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/031,120	04/23/2002	Jakob Nielsen	66722-012-7	3828
25269 7:	590 11/16/2005		EXAMINER	
DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC			KURR, JASON RICHARD	
FRANKLIN SQUARE, THIRD FLOOR WEST 1300 I STREET, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	WASHINGTON, DC 20005			
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2009	ς .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	LA II AI NI	Applicant(a)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/031,120	NIELSEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jason R. Kurr	2644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A	oril 2002.					
·—	·—					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 8-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>5-7</u> is/are objected to.	1 4					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	,					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 April 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	• • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/16/02 2/7/03. 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 4-7, 10 and 13 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 5-7 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim may not depend on the multiple dependant claim 3. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 5-7 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim 4 recites the limitations "the update rate" and "the long term average denominator" in lines 29 and 30. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 10 depicts "A hearing aid according to claim 8". Claim 8 does not claim a hearing aid. It is unclear to the examiner as to why claim 10 is dependant on claim 8. For the purpose of examining, claim 10 has been viewed as if it were dependant upon claim 9.

Claim 13 refers to the stability detector of claim 12, however claim 13 is dependant on claim 11. It is unclear to the examiner as to why claim 13 is dependant on claim 11. For the purpose of examining, claim 13 has been viewed as if it were dependant upon claim 12.

Art Unit: 2644

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kalin et al (US 5,661,814).

With respect to claim 1 Kalin discloses a method for canceling feedback in an acoustic system comprising a microphone (fig.1 #1), a signal path (fig.1), a speaker (fig.1 #9), means for detecting presence of feedback between the speaker and the microphone (fig.1 #11) and filter means (fig.1 #15) for compensating at least partly a possible feedback signal, the method comprising:

- using a LMS algorithm for generating filter coefficients (col.1 ln.59-61);
- using a highpass filter to prevent low-frequency signals from entering the LMS algorithm (fig.13 #108, col.11 ln.50-53);
- where an additional feedback cancellation filter (fig.15 #5f) and a noise generator (fig.15 #127) is used for providing low-frequency input for the LMS algorithm (col.13 ln.23-40).

With respect to claim 2 Kalin discloses a method according to claim 1, where a sign-swapping algorithm is used for generating a broad band noise signal, having an

Art Unit: 2644

amplitude substantially equal to the amplitude of the signal from which it was derived (fig.17 #127a, col.13 ln.41-67, col.14 ln.1-12).

With respect to claim 3 Kalin discloses a method according to any of the claims 1 or 2 where a steep low pass filter (fig.16 #133) is used generate a low frequency noise signal to be used as an additional input to the LMS algorithm (col.13 ln.35-40).

With respect to claim 4 Kalin discloses a method according to claim 1, where the LMS algorithm operates with a predetermined essentially level independent adaptation speed when feedback is not present, this representing a first mode,

- where the LMS algorithm operates a level dependant adaptation speed when feedback is present, this representing a second mode;
- where the means for detecting the presence of feedback is used to control the adaptation mode selection of the LMS algorithm;
- where the update rate for the LMS algorithm is determined by the long-term average denominator in the LMS update algorithm in the second mode (col.2 In.16-22, col.7 In.62-67, col.8 In.1-51)

With respect to claim 8 Kalin discloses a method for canceling feedback in an acoustic system comprising a microphone (fig.1 #1), a signal path (fig.1), a speaker (fig.1 #9), means for detecting presence of feedback between the speaker and the

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/031,120

Art Unit: 2644

microphone (fig.1 #11) and filter means (fig.1 #15) for compensating at least partly a possible feedback signal, the method comprising:

- using a LMS algorithm for generating filter coefficients (col.1 In.59-61);
- using a highpass filter to prevent low-frequency signals from entering the LMS algorithm (fig.13 #108, col.11 ln.50-53).

With respect to claim 9 Kalin discloses a hearing aid comprising:

- a microphone (fig.1 #1);
- a signal path (fig.1);
- an amplifier (fig.1 #5);
- a speaker (fig.1 #9);
- means for detecting feedback between the speaker and the microphone (fig.1 #11);
- filter means for at least partly compensating a possible feedback signal (fig.1 #15);
- memory means including a LMS algorithm for generating filter coefficients (col.1 ln.55-67);
- at least one highpass filter for preventing low-frequency signals from entering
 the LMS algorithm (fig.13 #108, col.11 ln.50-53).
- An additional feedback cancellation filter (fig.15 #5f) and a noise generator (fig.15 #127) for providing low-frequency input for the LMS algorithm (col.13 ln.23-40).

Art Unit: 2644

With respect to claim 10 Kalin discloses a hearing aid according to claim 9, further comprising steep low pass filters (fig.16 #133) for generating a low frequency noise signal to be used as an additional input to the LMS algorithm (col.13 ln.35-40).

With respect to claim 11 Kalin discloses a hearing aid comprising:

- a microphone (fig.1 #1);
- a signal path (fig.1);
- an amplifier (fig.1 #5);
- a speaker (fig.1 #9);
- means for detecting feedback between the speaker and the microphone (fig.1 #11);
- filter means for at least partly compensating a possible feedback signal (fig.1 #15);
- memory means including a LMS algorithm for generating filter coefficients (col.1 ln.55-67);
- where the means for detecting feedback include a bandwidth detecting means (col.8 ln.20-32).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2644

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kalin et al (US 5,661,814) in view of Yoshida et al (US 5,473,702).

With respect to claim 12, Kalin discloses a hearing aid according to claim 10, however does not disclose expressly wherein the hearing aid comprises a stability detector.

Yoshida discloses an adaptive noise canceller that comprises a stability detector for the signal determined as a feedback signal (fig.10 #331).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the stability detector of Yoshida in the hearing aid of Kalin.

The motivation for doing so would have been to monitor the error in the feedback signal so as to provide for a more accurate correction to the feedback cancellation filter.

With respect to claim 13, Kalin discloses a hearing aid according to claim 12 in view of Yoshida, where the stability detector comprises storage means for a number of values from a number of succeeding time frames and means (Yoshida fig. 10 #333) for comparing these (Yoshida col.12 In.27-37)

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Engebretson (US 5,475,759), (EP App. 0579152 A1).

Engebretson discloses electronic filters for use in hearing aids.

The European Patent Application (0579152 A1) discloses an auditory prosthesis including noise and feedback suppression using adaptive filtering.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason R. Kurr whose telephone number is (571) 272-0552. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 273-8300. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JK

JK

XU MEI PRIMARY EXAMINER